



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/674,446	09/29/2003	Tadao Yamazaki	0263-4045US3	4130	
27123 75	590 06/28/2005		EXAMINER		
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER			RUSSEL, JEFFREY E		
	NY 10281-2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			1654		
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/674,	446	YAMAZAKI ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
			E. Russel	1654				
- Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	cation appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION Sions of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of the committee of the committee of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply exply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of the control o	event, however, may a reply be tin tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>18 Mav 2005</i> .			•			
·	•							
,	<u> </u>							
-	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	<u></u>							
Application	on Papers			•	,			
10)⊠ 1	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 29 September Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	r 2003 is/are: a)⊠ tion to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. See lired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/171,737.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(	s)							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or FNo(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/674,446

Art Unit: 1654

1. The amendment instruction for the claim to priority filed May 18, 2005 is unclear. The claim for priority is located in what was the first sentence of the specification, although this sentence was inserted by an amendment set forth on the bottom of page 3 and at the top of page 4 of the Utility Transmittal. Applicants are required to amend the specification, not the accompanying forms, and any amendment instruction should clearly identify the location in the specification of the paragraph(s) to be amended. It should be noted that in the amendment to claim 19 filed May 18, 2005, line 3, the phrase "said stabilizer" was changed to "said first stabilizer" without the word "first" being underlined as required by 37 CFR 1.121(c)(2).

Page 2

- 2. The claim for priority filed May 18, 2005 is objected to because it uses priority claim language under both 35 U.S.C. 120 ("divisional") and under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) ("claims the benefit of") to claim the benefit of U.S. application serial no. 09/909,429, and because it uses priority claim language under both 35 U.S.C. 120 ("continuation") and under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) ("claims the benefit of") to claim the benefit of U.S. application serial no. 09/468,772. See MPEP 201.11(III)(A) and (B) for examples of appropriate priority claim language. Note that the language indicative of a claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) is also inappropriate where priority is not claimed based upon a provisional application. Correction is required.
- 3. Claims 20-33 are objected to because of the following informalities: At claim 20, line 1, "first" should be inserted before "stabilizer" so that claim language is consistent with that used in the independent claim. At claim 24, line 1, "of" should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,446

Art Unit: 1654

Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 35 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,277,367. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the '367 patent anticipate the instant claims. Note that the '367 patent claims erythropoietin compositions comprising tryptophan and comprising serine, each of which satisfies Applicants' claim requirement for a first and second stabilizer. Note that the claim does not require the first and second stabilizers to be different from one another.

- 5. Claim 19 is allowed. Claims 20-33 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the claim objections set forth in this Office action.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 1654

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey E. Russel at telephone number (571) 272-0969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Bruce Campell can be reached at (571) 272-0974. The fax number for formal communications to be entered into the record is (571) 273-8300; for informal communications such as proposed amendments, the fax number (571) 273-0969 can be used. The telephone number for the Technology Center 1600 receptionist is (571) 272-1600.

Jeffrey E. Russel

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1654

JRussel 1

June 24, 2005